This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application.

**Listing of Claims:**

1.      (Canceled)


2.      (Currently amended) The method of claim [[1]] 31 wherein the first computer entity
        encrypts at least one of the code ID and the data of the attestation message according to a
        key available to the second computer entity, the method further comprising the second
        computer entity decrypting such encrypted matter.


3.      (Currently amended) The method of claim [[1]] 31 wherein the second computer entity
        consumes the attestation message by application of same to a verifying function that
        automatically verifies the attestation message based on a format thereof and that extracts
        relevant information from such verified attestation message for use by the second
        computer entity.


4.      (Currently amended) The method of claim [[1]] 31 wherein the first computer entity is a
        part of a computing device, and the second computer entity decides based on the code ID
        of the first entity in the attestation message therefrom whether the second first computer
        entity can be trusted, and also decide decides based on the a certificate chain of the
        message whether the computing device can be trusted, the certificate chain leading back
        to a trusted root authority.


5.      (Canceled)


6.      (Canceled)

7.      (Currently amended) The method of claim 4 wherein the second <u>computer</u> entity

determines that the code ID is a known code ID and that the first <u>computer</u> entity can be

trusted based on such code ID.


8.      (Currently amended) The method of claim 4 wherein the second <u>computer</u> entity

determines from the certificate chain whether the computing device of the first <u>computer</u>

entity should be trusted to instantiate and ~~execute~~ <u>operate</u> the first <u>computer</u> entity in a

trusted manner and should be trusted to calculate the code ID properly.


9.      (Currently amended) The method of claim 8 wherein the second <u>computer</u> entity

determines that each certificate in the certificate chain is not on a do-not-trust list.


10.     (Currently amended) The method of claim [[1]] <u>31</u> wherein the ~~second entity constructs a~~

trust message ~~including therein a shared secret comprising~~ <u>includes</u> a symmetric key (K)

that the first and second <u>computer</u> entities ~~shall each~~ employ to encrypt and decrypt

messages therebetween.


11.     (Currently amended) The method of claim 10 wherein the ~~second entity constructs a~~ ~~trust~~

~~message including therein~~ the symmetric key (K) <u>is</u> encrypted according to a public key

~~of the first entity~~ (PU-1) to result in (PU-1(K)), the second <u>computer</u> entity obtaining

(PU-1) from the certificate chain of the attestation message, and wherein the first

<u>computer</u> entity obtains the symmetric key (K) from the received trust message by

applying a private key (PR-1) corresponding to (PU-1) to (PU-1(K)) to result in (K).


12.     (Currently amended) The method of claim [[1]] <u>31</u> wherein the ~~second entity constructs a~~

trust message further ~~including therein~~ <u>includes</u> an identification of a cryptographic

algorithm to be employed in connection with the ~~shared~~ <u>first</u> secret.


13.     (Canceled)

14.    (Currently amended) The method of claim [[1]] 31 wherein the ~~second entity constructs a~~ trust message further ~~including~~ includes relevant trust data encrypted according to a key available to the first computer entity, and wherein the first computer entity decrypts the encrypted trust data by applying the key thereto.

15.    (Canceled)

16.    (Currently amended) The method of claim [[1]] 31 wherein the second computer entity creates the trust message by application of ~~the shared secret and other relevant information to~~ a sealing function that automatically produces the trust message in an appropriate format that is accessible to the first computer entity.

17.    (Canceled)

18.    (Currently amended) The method of claim [[1]] 31 wherein prior to the first computer entity ~~constructing~~ transmitting the attestation message, the first computer entity sends a can-attest message to the second computer entity, the can-attest message stating that the first computer entity can send an attestation message but that the first computer entity would like to know from the second computer entity whether such an attestation message is required by such second computer entity and if so any requirements that such second computer entity has with regard to such attestation message, the method further comprising the second computer entity sending an attestation-wanted message to the first computer entity in response to the can-attest message, the attestation-wanted message stating that the second computer entity does in fact require an attestation message from the first computer entity and that the attestation message as sent by the first computer entity must adhere to certain requirements as defined in such attestation-wanted message,

whereby the first <u>computer</u> entity thereafter sends the attestation message in accordance with the requirements stated in the attestation-wanted message.


19.    (Currently amended) ~~A method of establishing trust between independent first and~~ ~~second computer-type entities, the first entity operating in a trusted manner on a~~ ~~computing device and seeking a trust-based relationship with the second entity, the~~ ~~method~~ <u>The method of claim 30 further</u> comprising:

the first <u>computer</u> entity constructing<u>, in accordance with the requirements stated</u> <u>in the attestation-wanted message,</u> ~~an~~ <u>the</u> attestation message to be delivered to the second <u>computer</u> entity, the attestation message including a code identifier (code ID) representative of the first <u>computer</u> entity and data relevant to the purpose of the trust-based relationship<u>;</u> ~~, the code ID being publicly available to any entity including the second~~ ~~entity and comprising a one-way hashing function applied to a concatenation of operating~~ ~~code representative of the first entity and security information relating to the first entity but~~ ~~separate from the operating code representative of the first entity, the security information~~ ~~including at least one security parameter employed by the first entity, the first entity~~ ~~potentially having multiple versions thereof or employing multiple versions of the security~~ ~~information and thereby potentially having multiple publicly available valid code IDs~~ ~~corresponding thereto, the second entity having knowledge of each valid code ID~~ ~~corresponding to the first entity;~~

the first <u>computer</u> entity appending a digital signature to the attestation message and a certificate chain leading back to a trusted root authority, the signature being based on the code ID and data thereof and being verifiable based on a security key included in the certificate chain, the certificate chain including at least one certificate therein proffering trustworthiness ~~of the computing device~~ of the first <u>computer</u> entity;

the first <u>computer</u> entity sending the attestation message to the second <u>computer</u> entity and the second <u>computer</u> entity receiving same, whereby the second <u>computer</u> entity verifies the signature of the received attestation message based on the included security key, whereby alteration of the code ID or data of the attestation message should

cause the signature to fail to verify, the second <u>computer</u> entity based on such a failure dishonoring such attestation message, the second <u>computer</u> entity decides whether to in fact enter into the trust-based relationship with the first <u>computer</u> entity based on the code ID and the data in the attestation message, ~~the deciding including determining that the code ID in the attestation message matches a publicly available code ID for the first entity and known to the second entity,~~ the second <u>computer</u> entity upon deciding to in fact enter into the trust-based relationship with the first <u>computer</u> entity constructs a trust message to be delivered to the first <u>computer</u> entity, the trust message establishing the trust-based relationship and including therein a secret to be shared between the first and second <u>computer</u> entities, where such shared secret allows such first and second <u>computer</u> entities to communicate in a secure manner, and the second <u>computer</u> entity sends the trust message to the first entity and the first entity receiving same; and

the first <u>computer</u> entity obtaining the shared secret in the trust message and employing the shared secret to exchange information with the second <u>computer</u> entity according to the established trust-based relationship with such second <u>computer</u> entity.


20.      (Currently amended) The method of claim 19 wherein the ~~first entity constructs an attestation message including a~~ code identifier (code ID) <u>is</u> calculated from a digest of the first <u>computer</u> entity, whereby alteration of the first <u>computer</u> entity causes the code ID to change.


21.      (Currently amended) The method of claim 20 wherein the ~~first entity constructs an attestation message including a~~ code identifier (code ID) <u>is</u> calculated from [[a]] <u>the</u> digest of the first <u>computer</u> entity and from security information relating thereto, whereby alteration of the first <u>computer</u> entity or the security information causes the code ID to change.


22.      (Canceled)

23.     (Currently amended) The method of claim 19 further comprising a code ID calculator ~~on the computing device~~ of the first <u>computer</u> entity <u>that is used for</u> calculating the code ID, the code ID calculator operating in a trusted manner ~~on the~~ <u>in a</u> computing device.


24.     (Canceled)


25.     (Currently amended) The method of claim 19 wherein the first <u>computer</u> entity creates the attestation message by application of the code ID and data thereof to a quoting function that automatically produces the attestation message in an appropriate format that is accessible to the second <u>computer</u> entity.


26.     (Currently amended) The method of claim 19 wherein the second <u>computer</u> entity constructs a trust message including therein a shared secret comprising a symmetric key (K) that the first and second <u>computer</u> entities ~~shall each~~ employ to encrypt and decrypt messages therebetween, the symmetric key (K) being encrypted according to a public key ~~of the first entity~~ (PU-1) to result in (PU-1(K)), the second entity obtaining (PU-1) from the certificate chain of the attestation message, the method comprising the first <u>computer</u> entity obtaining the symmetric key (K) from the received trust message by applying a private key (PR-1) corresponding to (PU-1) to (PU-1(K)) to result in (K).


27.     (Currently amended) The method of claim 19 wherein the second <u>computer</u> entity constructs a trust message further including relevant trust data encrypted according to a key available to the first <u>computer</u> entity, the method comprising the first <u>computer</u> entity decrypting the encrypted trust data by applying the key thereto.


28.     (Currently amended) The method of claim 19 wherein the first <u>computer</u> entity consumes the trust message by application of same to an unsealing function that automatically

extracts the shared secret and other relevant information from such trust attestation
message for use by the first <u>computer</u> entity.

29.    (Currently amended) The method of claim 19 whereby the trust message is a first trust
message and the shared secret is a first shared secret, and whereby the second <u>computer</u>
entity constructs a second trust message to be delivered to the first <u>computer</u> entity, the
second trust message including therein a second secret to be shared between the first and
second <u>computer</u> entities, where such second shared secret allows such first and second
<u>computer</u> entities to communicate in a secure manner, and the second <u>computer</u> entity
sends the second trust message to the first <u>computer</u> entity and the first <u>computer</u> entity
receives same, the method further comprising the first <u>computer</u> entity obtaining the
second shared secret in the trust message and employing the second shared secret to
exchange information with the second <u>computer</u> entity, whereby the first shared secret is
no longer valid.

30.    (Currently amended) <u>A method of establishing trust between a first computer entity and a</u>
<u>second computer entity, the method comprising:</u>
        ~~The method of claim 19 further comprising, prior to the first entity constructing~~
~~the attestation message,~~ the first <u>computer</u> entity sending a can-attest message to the
second entity, the can-attest message stating that the first <u>computer</u> entity can send an
attestation message but that the first <u>computer</u> entity would like to know from the second
<u>computer</u> entity whether such an attestation message is required by such second <u>computer</u>
entity and if so any requirements that such second <u>computer</u> entity has with regard to
such attestation message<u>; and</u>~~, whereby~~
        the second entity ~~sends~~ <u>sending</u> an attestation-wanted message to the first
<u>computer</u> entity in response to the can-attest message, the attestation-wanted message
stating that the second <u>computer</u> entity does in fact require an attestation message from
the first <u>computer</u> entity and that the attestation message as sent by the first <u>computer</u>

entity must adhere to certain requirements as defined in such attestation-wanted message, ~~the first entity thereafter sending the attestation message in accordance with the~~ ~~requirements stated in the attestation-wanted message.~~

31.     (New) A method of establishing trust between two computer entities, the method comprising:

transmitting an attestation message from a first computer entity to a second computer entity, the attestation message including a code identifier (code ID) that is calculated by using a security ID corresponding to a behavior parameter that is associated with a computing operation having security implications;

verifying the validity of the code ID in the second computer entity, thereby ensuring that the security ID corresponding to the behavior parameter has not been tampered with; and

transmitting a trust message from the second computer entity to the first computer entity upon successfully verifying the validity of the code ID, the trust message including a first secret that is shared between the first and the second computer entities for communicating securely over a first period of time, wherein the first period of time is determined by the second computer entity.

32.     (New)  The method of claim 31, wherein the security ID is stored in a location in the first computer entity, and wherein the first computer entity is constrained to executing a particular behavior only via accessing the stored location.

33.     (New)  The method of claim 31, wherein the behavior parameter comprises opening of a file in the first computer entity.

34.     (New)  The method of claim 31, wherein the behavior parameter comprises opening a debugging port in the first computer entity.

35.     (New) The method of claim 31, wherein the trust message further includes data to inform the first computer entity of the first period of time over which the first secret is valid.

36.     (New) The method of claim 31, further comprising:

        retransmitting the trust message from the second computer entity to the first computer entity, the retransmitted trust message including a) a second secret that is different than the first secret, and b) data to inform the first computer entity of a second period of time over which the second secret is valid.